John A. Furlong, Bar No. 018356
General Counsel
State Bar of Arizona
4201 N. 24th Street, Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6288
Telephone: (602) 252-4804
John.Furlong@staff.azbar.org

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ARIZONA

PETITION TO AMEND ER 8.4, RULE 42, ARIZONA RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT Supreme Court No. R-

Petition to Amend ER 8.4, Rule 42, Arizona Rules of the Supreme Court

The State Bar of Arizona hereby petitions the Court, pursuant to Rule 28, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct., to amend Ethical Rule 8.4, within Rule 42, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct., to provide explicitly in the text of the Ethical Rule what already is provided implicitly within the Rule, as explained in the Comment ¶ 3 thereto; namely, that a lawyer's manifestation of bias or prejudice against certain classifications of people who historically have faced discrimination is prejudicial to the administration of justice, and to add the classification of "gender identity or expression" to the list of classifications enumerated.

The specific language of the proposed amendment is set forth in Appendix "A" attached hereto.

This amendment makes it clear that a lawyer who, in the course of his or her legal practice, knowingly manifests, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation,

1

4 5

6 7

8

11

10

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

gender identity or expression, or socioeconomic status, violates the Ethical Rule because such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice, with the sole exception that biased or prejudicial comments may be asserted in the form of legitimate advocacy when race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, or socioeconomic status are issues in a legal proceeding.

The ethical obligation proposed by this amendment already is embedded in multiple rules regulating the conduct of Arizona lawyers and judicial officers. For example, the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, in pertinent part, provides:

Canon 3(B)(5) ("A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, by words or conduct manifest bias or prejudice, including but not limited to bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, and shall not permit staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control to do so.");

Canon 3(B)(6) ("A judge shall require lawyers in proceedings before the judge to refrain from manifesting, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, against parties, witnesses, counsel or others. This § 3B(6) does not preclude legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, or other similar factors, are issues in the proceeding.");

Canon 4(A) ("A judge shall conduct all of the judge's extrajudicial activities so that they do not:

(1) cast reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge");

see also, Canon 4, Commentary ("Expressions of bias or prejudice by a judge, even outside the judge's judicial activities, may cast reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge. Expressions which may do so include jokes or other

remarks demeaning individuals on the basis of their race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status.").

Likewise, the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, in pertinent part, provide:

The duty to be respectful of others includes the responsibility to avoid comment or behavior that can reasonably be interpreted as manifesting prejudice or bias toward another on the basis of categories such as gender, race, ethnicity, religion, disability, age, or sexual orientation.

Local Rule 83.5. Similarly, the Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court of the District of Arizona provide:

The duty to be respectful of others includes the responsibility to avoid comment or behavior that can reasonably be interpreted as manifesting prejudice or bias toward another on the basis of categories such as gender, race, ethnicity, religion, disability, age, or sexual orientation.

Rule 1000-1.

The ethical obligation proposed by this amendment is consistent with the American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 (providing that it is misconduct prejudicial to the administration of justice for an attorney to "knowingly manifest[] by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status."). Moreover, it is consistent with the ethical rules of many other states. Attached as Appendix "C" is a representative overview of many of those states' ethical rules, including rules adopted by California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New

8

7

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

York, North Dakota, Ohio, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont and Washington.

As other states have emphasized, anti-discrimination protections "reflect[] the premise that a commitment to equal justice under the law lies at the very heart of the legal system." *See* Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(e), Comment ¶ 4.

It is important to elevate this provision prohibiting bias or prejudice from comment to rule status to ensure that all Arizona attorneys are aware of it and to emphasize its centrality to our system of equal justice under the law.

For the reasons set forth above, the State Bar of Arizona respectfully petitions this Court to amend Ethical Rule 8.4, under Rule 42 of the Arizona Rules of the Supreme Court, as set forth in Appendix "A" attached hereto. In order to reflect the relocation of portions of Comment ¶ 3, Comment ¶ 3 should be revised as set forth in Appendix "B" attached hereto.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this /5 day of June, 2010.

John A. Furlong General Counsel

Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Arizona this \(\sum_{\text{d}}^{\text{d}}\) day of June, 2010.

By: Kathleen Lundgren

APPENDIX "A"

ARIZONA RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT

* * *

Rule 42, ER 8.4. Misconduct

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

- (a) violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another;
- (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;
- (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation;
- (d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice;
- (e) knowingly manifest bias or prejudice based upon race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, or socioeconomic status in the course

of representing a client when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice; provided, however, this does not preclude legitimate advocacy when such classification is an issue in the proceeding;

- (f) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law; or
- (g) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable Code of Judicial Conduct or other law-;
- (h) file a notice of change of judge under Rule 10.2, Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, for an improper purpose, such as obtaining a trial delay or other circumstances enumerated in Rule 10.2(b).

APPENDIX "B" ARIZONA RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT

Comment [Effective Dec. 1, 2003]

- [1] Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so or do so through the acts of another, as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer's behalf. Paragraph (a), however, does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client of action the client is lawfully entitled to take.
- [2] Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as offenses involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return. However, some kinds of offenses carry no such implication.

Traditionally, the distinction was drawn in terms of 1 offenses involving "moral turpitude." That concept 3 can be construed to include offenses concerning some 4 matters of personal morality, such as adultery and 5 comparable offenses that have no specific connection 6 7 to fitness for the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for 10 11 offenses that indicate lack of those characteristics 12 relevant to law practice. Offenses involving 13 violence, dishonesty, or breach of trust, or serious 14 15 interference with the administration of justice are 16 in that category. A pattern of repeated offenses, 17 even ones of minor significance when considered 18 19 separately, can indicate indifference to legal 20

2122

23

24

25

26

obligation.

[3] A lawyer who in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender

identity or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph

(d) when such actions are prejudicial to the

administration of justice. This does not preclude

legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion,

national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation,

gender identity or socioeconomic status, or other

similar factors, are issues in the proceeding. A

trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were

exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone

establish a violation of this Rule.

- [4] A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good faith belief that no valid obligation exists. The provisions of ER 1.2(d) concerning a good faith challenge to the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law apply to challenges of legal regulation of the practice of law.
- [5] Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of other citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can

suggest an inability to fulfill the professional role of lawyers. The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust such as trustee, executor, administrator, guardian, agent and officer, director or manager of a corporation or other organization.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

2526

Arizona Adopted a version of Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that "[a] lawyer who in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) [of Arizona Ethical Rule 8.4] when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice. This does not preclude legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity or socioeconomic status, or other similar factors, are issues in the proceeding. A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of this Rule."

• Codified as Arizona Ethical Rules, Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3

See
http://www.myazbar.org/Ethics/ruleview.cfm?id=61

California

- Adopted a rule governing "Prohibited Discriminatory Conduct in a Law Practice," which provides, in part, that "[i] n the management or operation of a law practice, a member shall not unlawfully discriminate or knowingly permit unlawful discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, religion, age or disability . . ."
- Codified as California Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 2-400(B)
- See
 http://www.calbar.ca.gov/calbar/pdfs/rules/Rules_Prof
 essional-Conduct.pdf

Colorado

 Adopted a rule providing that it is misconduct for a lawyer to "engage in conduct, in the representation of a client, that exhibits or is intended to appeal to or engender bias against a person on account of that person's race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status, whether that conduct is directed to other counsel, court personnel, witnesses, parties, judges, judicial officers, or any persons involved in the legal process."

Codified as Colorado Rules of Professional

Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

• Comment ¶ 3 to Colorado's Rule 8.4 further provides that "[a] lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by word or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (g) and also may violate paragraph (d)." Paragraph (d) is identical to Model Rule 8.4(d), which provides that it is misconduct to "engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice."
• See

http://www.cobar.org/index.cfm/ID/20519/subID/22571/C

ETH//

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Connecticut

• Adopted a version of Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that "[a] lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates" the rule "when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."

• Codified as Connecticut Rules of Professional

Conduct, Rule 8.4(4), Commentary

 See http://www.jud.ct.gov/Publications/PracticeBook/P B1.pdf

Delaware

• Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing, "A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."

• Codified as Delaware Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(d), Comment ¶ 3

 See http://courts.delaware.gov/odc/DLRPCwithCommentsDec2008.pdf

District of Columbia

- Adopted a version of Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 that provides a lawyer violates the rule against engaging "in conduct that serious interferes with the administration of justice" when that lawyer uses "words or actions that manifest bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status."
- Codified as District of Columbia Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(d), Comment ¶ 3 See http://www.dcbar.org/new rules/rules.cfm

Florida

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Adopted a rule providing that a lawyer shall not "engage in conduct in connection with the practice of law that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, including to knowingly, or through callous indifference, disparage, humiliate, or discriminate against litigants, jurors, witnesses, court personnel, or other lawyers on any basis, including, but not limited to, on account of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, national origin, disability, marital status, sexual orientation, age, socioeconomic status, employment, or physical characteristic."
- Codified as Florida Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4-8.4(d)
- See http://www.floridabar.org/divexe/rrtfb.nsf/FV/0B6 C8E5CDCA464D685 257172004B0FBD

Idaho

- Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that "[a] lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."
- Codified as Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(d), Comment ¶ 3
- See http://www2.state.id.us/ISB/rules/irpc.htm

Illinois

 Adopted a rule providing that a lawyer shall not engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and "[i]n relation thereto, a lawyer shall not engage in adverse discriminatory treatment of litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers, and others, based on race, sex, religion, or national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status. This subsection does not preclude legitimate advocacy when these or similar factors are issues in the proceeding."

Codified as Illinois Rules of Professional

Conduct, Rule 8.4(a)(5)

Adopted an additional rule providing that a lawyer shall not "violate a Federal, State or local statute or ordinances that prohibits [sic]discrimination based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status by conduct that reflects adversely on the lawyer's fitness as a lawyer."

Codified as Illinois Rules of Professional

Conduct, Rule 8.4(a)(9)(A)

See http://www.state.il.us/court/SupremeCourt/Rules/A rt VIII/ArtVIII.htm#8.4

Indiana

- Adopted a rule providing that it is misconduct for a lawyer to "engage in conduct, in a professional capacity, manifesting, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, gender, religion, national origin, disability, sexual orientation, age, socioeconomic status, or similar factors."
- Codified as Indiana Rules of Court, Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)
- http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules/prof conduct/

Iowa

Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that a "lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."

Codified as Iowa Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 32:8.4, Comment ¶ 3

- Adopted an additional rule that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "engage . . other unlawful discrimination .
- Codified as Iowa Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 32:8.4(g)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

See http://www.judicial.state.ia.us/wfdata/frame2395-1066/Filel.pdf

Maryland

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "knowingly manifest by words or conduct when acting in a professional capacity bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status when such action is prejudicial to the administration of justice, provided, however, that legitimate advocacy is not a violation of this paragraph." Codified as Maryland Lawyers' Rules of

Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(e)

Maryland also adopted a related comment that provides, "Paragraph (e) reflects the premise that a commitment to equal justice under the law lies at the very heart of the legal system. result, even when not otherwise unlawful, a lawyer who, while acting in a professional capacity, engages in the conduct described in paragraph (e) and by so doing prejudices the administration of justice commits a particularly egregious type of discrimination. Such conduct manifests a lack of character required of members of the legal profession. A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of this rule. A judge, however, must require lawyers to refrain from the conduct described in paragraph (e). See Md. Rule 16-813, Maryland Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3 B (11)."

Codified as Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(e), Comment ¶ 4

http://www.courts.state.md.us/rules/rodocs/153ro.pdf

Massachusetts

Adopted a rule providing that a lawyer shall not "in appearing in a professional capacity before a tribunal, engage in conduct manifesting bias or prejudice based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation against a party, witness, counsel, or other This paragraph does not preclude person. legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation, or another similar factor is an issue in the proceeding."

- Codified as Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3.4(i)
- See http://www.mass.gov/obcbbo/RPC.pdf

Minnesota

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "harass a person on the basis of sex, race, age, creed, religion, color, national origin, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status in connection with a lawyer's professional activities."
- a lawyer's professional activities."
 Codified as Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)
- Adopted an additional rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "commit a discriminatory act prohibited by federal, state, or local statute or ordinance that reflects adversely on the lawyer's fitness as a lawyer."
- Codified as Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(h)
- Also adopted a comment providing, "Paragraph (h) reflects the premise that the concept of human equality lies at the very heart of our legal system. A lawyer whose behavior demonstrates hostility toward or indifference to the policy of equal justice under the law may thereby manifest a lack of character required of members of the legal profession. Therefore, a lawyer's discriminatory act prohibited by statute or ordinance may reflect adversely on his or her fitness as a lawyer even if the unlawful discriminatory act was not committed in connection with the lawyer's professional activities."
- Codified as Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 6
- See http://www.mncourts.gov/lprb/05mrpc.html#r84

Missouri

- Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for an attorney to "manifest by words or conduct, in representing a client, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation. This Rule 4-8.4(g) does not preclude legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, or other similar factors, are issues."
- Codified as Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 4-8.4(g)

Additionally adopted a comment to Rule 4-8.4(g) providing that "Rule 4-8.4(g) identifies the special importance of a lawyer's words or conduct, in representing a client, that manifest bias or prejudice against others based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation. Rule 4-8.4(g) excludes those instances in which a lawyer engages in legitimate advocacy with respect to these A lawyer acts as an officer of the factors. court and is licensed to practice by the state. The manifestation of bias or prejudice by a lawyer, in representing a client, fosters discrimination in the provision of services in the state judicial system, creates a substantial likelihood of material prejudice by impairing the integrity and fairness of the judicial system, and undermines public confidence in the fair and impartial administration of justice."

• Codified as Missouri Rules of Professional

Conduct, Rule 4-8.4, Comment ¶ 3

See http://www.courts.mo.gov/courts/ClerkHandbooksP2RulesOnly.nsf/c0c6ffa99df4993f86256ba50057dcb8/a51eedab3cdc362b86256ca6005211ec?OpenDocument

New Jersey

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

• Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for an attorney to "engage, in a professional capacity, in conduct involving discrimination (except employment discrimination unless resulting in a final agency or judicial determination) because of race, color, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, language, marital status, socioeconomic status, or handicap where the conduct is intended or likely to cause harm."

 Codified as New Jersey Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

 See http://www.judiciary.state.nj.us/rpc97.htm#8.4

New York

• Adopted a rule providing that it is misconduct to "unlawfully discriminate in the practice of law, including in hiring, promoting or otherwise determining conditions of employment on the basis of age, race, creed, color, national origin, sex, disability, marital status or sexual orientation."

- Codified as New York Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(q) (to take effect on April 1, 2009)
- See http://www.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/NY%2 ORules%20of%20Prof% 20Conduct.pdf

North Dakota

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, including to knowingly manifest through words or conduct in the course of representing a client, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation, against parties, witnesses, counsel, or others, except when those words or conduct are legitimate advocacy because race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, or sexual orientation is an issue in the proceeding."
- Codified as North Dakota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(f)
- See http://www.court.state.nd.us/rules/conduct/frames et.htm

Ohio

- Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "engage, in a professional capacity, in conduct involving discrimination prohibited by law because of race, color, religion, age, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, or disability." Codified as Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct,
- Rule 8.4(q)
- See http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/AttySvcs/ProfConduc t/rules/default.asp# Rule8 4

South Carolina

- Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that a "lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph [(e)] when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."
- Codified as South Carolina Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(e), Comment ¶ 3

See http://www.sccourts.org/courtReg/displayRule.cfm?ruleID=407.0&subRule ID=RULE%208.4&ruleType=APP

South Dakota

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that a "lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."
- Codified as South Dakota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3
- See http://www.sdbar.org/Rules/rules.shtm

Tennessee

- Adopted a version of Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that a "lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, or socio-economic status, may violate paragraph (d) if such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."
- Codified as Tennessee Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(d), Comment ¶ 2
- See
 http://www.tba.org/ethics/rules_book/index.php?pa
 ge=rule8.4

Utah

- Adopted Model Rule 8.4, Comment ¶ 3 providing that a "lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice."
- to the administration of justice."
 Codified as Utah Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(d), Comment ¶ 3
- See http://www.utcourts.gov/resources/rules/ucja/ch13 /8 4.htm

Vermont

 Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "discriminate against any individual because of his or her race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, place of birth or age, or against a qualified handicapped individual, in hiring, promoting or otherwise determining the conditions of employment of that individual."

 Codified as Vermont Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

• See http://www.vermontjudiciary.org/PRB1.htm

Washington

- Adopted a rule providing that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to, "in representing a client, engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice toward judges, other parties and/or their counsel, witnesses and/or their counsel, jurors, or court personnel or officers, that a reasonable person would interpret as manifesting prejudice or bias on the basis of sex, race, age, creed, religion, color, national origin, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status. This Rule does not restrict a lawyer from representing a client by advancing material factual or legal issues or arguments."
- Codified as Washington Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 8.4(h)
- See
 http://www.courts.wa.gov/court_rules/?fa=court_ru
 les.rulesPDF&group Name=ga&setName=RPC